Adaptive indicator recommendation and weight allocation for river and lake health assessment Yanchen Zhou (Changjiang River Scientific Research Institute of Changjiang Water Resources Commission, Wuhan 430010, China)

Background

Work in southwest

The general indicators and weight can not effectively reflect the real situation of rivers and lakes in different regions, and will deviate from the current situation. Although the technical guide also allows appropriate adjustment according to the specific situation, it is greatly affected by the subjective decision of the staff, and there are many other operational issues.





flow, water level	sediment concentration				
runoff increase, water level change sharply	increased				
runoff increase, water level change sharply					
increase the regulation of flow	reduce the reservoir downstream river				
weaken the regulation of lake on runoff reduction					
water level change gentlely					
water level change gentlely	reduction				
	flow, water level runoff increase, water level change sharply runoff increase, water level change sharply increase the regulation of flow weaken the regulation of lake on runoff reduction water level change gentlely water level change gentlely				

Hydrological characteristics of rivers under human activities

Index framework

			Data C	Center	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1+0i_1+0i_2+0i_3+0j & x_l \\ \frac{x_l-s_2}{2}+\frac{s_1-x_l}{2}i_1+0i_2+0i_3+0i_4+0i_5 \end{pmatrix}$	$i_{l} \ge S_{1}$ $\leq x_{1} \le S_{1}$ $\leq x_{1} \le S_{1}$ $\int \frac{S_{2} - x_{l}}{S_{2} - S_{1}} + \frac{x_{l} - S_{1}}{S_{2} - S_{1}}$	$\begin{array}{ll} 0i_2 + 0i_3 + 0j & x_l \leq S_1 \\ \cdot i_1 + 0i_2 + 0i_3 + 0j & S_1 < x_l \leq S_2 \end{array}$	Watershed	GIS Data	
$S_{1}-S_{2} + S_{1}-S_{2} + Oi_{2} + Oi_{3} + Oj + O$	$\sum_{3} \leq x_{l} < S_{1}$ $u_{1} = \begin{cases} S_{2} & S_{1} & S_{2} & S_{1} \\ 0 + \frac{S_{3} - x_{l}}{S_{3} - S_{2}} i_{1} \\ 0 + \frac{S_{3} - x_{l}}{S_{3} - S_{2}} i_{1} \end{cases}$	$+\frac{x_l - S_2}{S_3 - S_2}i_2 + 0i_3 + 0j S_2 < x_l \le S_3$	Lake & Rivers	Third part	
$\mu_{l} = \begin{cases} \mu_{l} = \begin{cases} 0 + 0i_{1} + \frac{x_{l} - S_{4}}{S_{3} - S_{4}}i_{2} + \frac{S_{3} - x_{l}}{S_{3} - S_{4}}i_{3} + 0j & S_{4} \end{cases}$	$x_4 \le x_l < S_3$ $0 + 0i_1 + \frac{S_3}{S_3}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{N_4 - x_l} i_2 + \frac{x_l - S_3}{S_4 - S_3} i_3 + 0 j S_3 < x_l \le S_4$ $+ \frac{S_5 - x_l}{S_5 - x_l} i_2 + \frac{x_l - S_4}{S_4} j S_1 < x_1 \le S_5$			
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 + 0i_1 + 0i_2 + \frac{x_1 - s_5}{s_4 - s_5}i_3 + \frac{s_4 - x_1}{s_4 - s_5}j & S_1 \\ 0 + 0i_1 + 0i_2 + 0i_3 + 0i_4 + 1j & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$	$S_{5} \leq x_{l} < S_{4}$ $x_{l} < S_{5}$ $(0 + 0i_{1} + 0i_{2} + 0i_{1} + 0i_{2})$	$s_{5} - s_{4} = s_{5}$ + $0i_{3} + 1j$ $s_{5} - s_{4} = s_{5}$ $x_{l} > s_{5}$		enter	
Benefit index		Cost index		Health assessment	
Intelligent manag	ement system		Public opinion	management	
水域详情	全国水域舆情分析	• 董炳河健康评价↔	Appl	cant	
▲ 五郎河	高锰酸 监测时间 海河流域 总氣 浊度 氨氮 断面名称	.1 评价概况↔			
集水面积:平方千米 社会经济性:	新 新 新 新 新 新	•1.1 河流健康评价指标体系↔	Mini pr	ograms	
水文特征: 水功能区划分:		根据《云南省河湖库渠健康评价指南(试行)》的要求,河	Full proces	s dynamics	



Indicator-based health assessment



流健康评价指标体系由目标层、准则层和指标层组成,评价指标

体系及相应权重见下表。┙

表 1.1-1 河流健康评价指标体系↔

目标层↩		准则层↩ 指标层↩		÷				
名称↩	名	6称↩	权重	名称↩	权重	说明数据获取实际途径↩	÷	
* 苯亚	之志死命		岸线自然状况↩	0.1↩	现场调查↔	*		
	小域岸致 状况↩	0.2↔	违规开发利用水域岸线↔ 程度↔	0.1↩	现场调查及相关文件资料↔			
		水量	0.15€	生态流量满足程度↩	0.15	现场调查及相关文件资料↔	÷	
	★← 、	水	l. ef		水质优劣程度↔	0.10	主管部门提供的断面水质监测 报告↩	
可流健康状	水质	€ 0.15€	水体自净能力↔	0.05↔	主管部门提供的重点断面水质 监测报告及现场调查⇔			
				底栖动物 <u>Hilsenhoff</u> 生↔	0.1↩	现场调查↔		

NLP-based report production



Al-based water photo analysis