



Development and driving mechanisms of groundwater

flow systems in hyper-arid endorheic basins

—a case from Tibetan Plateau

Yong Xiao

Faculty of Geosciences and Environmental Engineering Southwest Jiaotong University

Sep. 11-15, 2023, Beijing



Outline of Presentation

- 1. Research Motivation and Study Area
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Results and Discussion
- 4. Conclusions



1、 Research Motivation and Study Area





Global warming + precious resource + fragile eco-environment Deeply understanding water behaviors is significantly important.







2、Methodology

Zone 1: Mountainous area; Zone 2: Alluvial fan plain; Zone 3: Overflow zone; Zone 4: Transition zone; Zone 5: Terminal lake zone.

- 1. Modelling (TOUGH2)
- 2. Major ions
- Isotopes
 (δ²H, δ¹⁸O, ³H, ¹⁴C)

AVAILABLE DATA:

- (1) 180 Groundwater (GW) samples
- (2) 48 Surface water (SW) samples.
- (3) 90 Brines water samples
- (4) 8 Precipitation samples





Groundwater simulation

-TOUGH2 software



Length : 100 km \rightarrow 100 cells with equal size (Horizontal direction)

Depth : 1300 m \rightarrow Variable meshing with the min size of 0.1m near surface ;

Width : $1 \text{ m} \rightarrow 1$ cell.





3、Results and Discussion

Groundwater simulation

Initial Parameters: $K_h = 10^2 \sim 10^{-3} \text{ m/d}$, $K_h/K_v = 5 \sim 10$;

Recharge rate and initial head: Average of Multi-year;

Evaporation : Using the Evaporation module developed by Hao et al. (2016),

Potential evaporation rate is 2600 mm.

Spring: Using the DELV module in TOUGH2.



3、Results and Discussion





Estimated parameters of different lithology

Lithology	K_{h} (m/d)	Anisotropy ratio K _h /K _v	Porosity
Gravel sand	56.3	10	0.35
Sand	13.7	10	0.40
Sandy silt	0.62	5	0.5
Silt	0.13	5	0.6
Clay	0.001	5	0.65





Results and Discussion

Scenario 1: Present status

Groundwater flow lines are controlled by lithology

(especially the continuous aquitards at depths of 60 m, 290 m and 450 m).

Three different hierarchical groundwater flow systems were identified.







SWAT model was established for the mountainous area.



Correlation between meteorological parameters and the runoff river water quantity at the mountain pass





TABLE 1 Meteorological and hydrological scenarios for groundwater modelling.

Scenario	Years	Temperature	Potential	precipitation	Melt	River runoff	River seepage
		/°C	evaporation		water	flux	quantity
Scenario 1	2016	6.85	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Scenario 2	2100	9.06	52%	110.35%	160%	140.14%	130.78%

Scenario 1: Present status ; Scenario 2: climate warming





Scenario 1: Present status



Scenario 2: climate warming







TABLE 3 The discharge of various groundwater flow systems of the profile.

	Scenario 1: Present status		Scenario 2: climate warming	
Groundwater flow	Discharge water	paraantaga / 0/	Discharge water	percentage
system	quantity / $m^3 \cdot d^{-1}$	percentage / %	quantity / $m^3 \cdot d^{-1}$	/ %
Local system	112.16	82.69	152.40	85.91
Intermediate system	19.34	14.26	20.05	11.30
Regional system	4.14	3.05	4.95	2.79





		Scenario 1: Present status		Scenario 2: climate warming	
		Water quantity / $m^3 \cdot d^{-1}$	Percentage / %	Water quantity / m ³ ·d ⁻¹	Percentage / %
	River seepage	135.61	99.97	177.35	99.98
Recharge	Bedrock lateral inflow	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02
	Total	135.65	100.00	177.39	100.00
Discharge	Spring	-78.59	57.94	-120.00	67.65
	Evaporation	-35.08	25.86	-35.10	19.79
	Pumping	-20.65	15.22	-20.65	11.64
	Outflow into the lake	-1.33	0.98	-1.65	0.93
	Total	-135.65	100.00	-177.39	100.00

TABLE 4 Groundwater balance of the modeled domain.



Results and Discussion



рН

Surface water:

River waters (Zone 1~5): *slightly alkaline* (*pH: 7.94~ 9.45*).

Lake waters (Zone 5): *slightly alkaline* (*estuary*) (*pH: 8.98*) to slightly acidic (*pH:* 6.03~6.28).

Groundwater:

Groundwater: slightly alkaline to slightly acidic (*pH: 9.34 to 6.03*).





Results and Discussion



Total dissolved solids (TDS)

Surface water:

River waters (Zone 1~5):

393 to 2,319 mg/L

Lake waters (Zone 5):

10,937~ 403,758 mg/L.

Groundwater:

Gradually vary from fresh water to salt water along the groundwater path. Deep GW is fresher than shallow GW in

the middle and upper stream area.













Conceptual model of groundwater flow and hydrochemical evolution

Results and Discussion



Brines from Ancient salt water migrated from the western Basin.

3、Results and Discussion



Lithium is also enriched in modern waters.

(also **Boron**)















- **River seepage** at the piedmont is the driving force of groundwater flow system development and evolution in hyper-arid inland basin.
- Groundwater circulation is the key factor determining water resources availability, water hazards, and contributing to the salt lake resources.
- Climate warming would pose significant influences on groundwater flow systems, especially the local ones.





CHINA GEOLOGY

- Multidisciplinary geoscience journal founded in 2018
- Co-sponsored by the China Geological Survey (CGS) and the Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences (CAGS)



2022 Impact Factor **4.5 (Q1)**





on Elsevier ScienceDirect



Free publishing No APC fee









《盐湖研究》创办于1972年,是国际盐湖科技领域唯一的专业期刊,已成为盐湖科技领域颇具影响力的学术类刊物。

期刊主要报道盐湖资源环境承载力与生态环境保护(盐湖成矿机制、盐湖资源迁移、变化和固液 转化规律,资源高效开采,资源开发过程中的生态环境效应,盐湖演化、干旱区环境变化,盐湖资 源环境承载力评价与盐湖区生态环境保护)、盐湖资源高效分离与过程强化(盐湖资源的绿色高效 分离机理、现代分离技术、过程强化与工程应用)、盐湖高端化学品制备科学前沿与关键技术(现 代农业、新能源、新材料用高端盐湖化学品制备化学原理、关键技术与工程示范)等方面的内容。



THANK YOU

Faculty of Geosciences and Environmental Engineering
Southwest Jiaotong University