

Does Small-Scale Irrigation improve WASH outcomes? Insights from rural Ethiopia

Chloé van Biljon, Claudia Ringler, Elizabeth Bryan and Dawit Mekonnen













Irrigation → Water Supply → WASH → Health

Irrigation can influence nutrition, health outcomes and food security through several potential pathways including (Domènech, 2015; Passarelli et al., 2018).

- 1) an income pathway,
- 2) a production pathway,
- 3) a women's empowerment pathway and
- 4) a water supply pathway
- While water access can be improved through multiple means, this paper focuses on improved water access through multiple water use of irrigation sources.





Irrigation practices affect domestic water practices

Domestic and Irrigation Water Source

Domestic				
Irrigation	Surface	Ground	Difference (std err)	
Surface	175	192		
º/o	78.5	27.0	-51.5 (3.4)***	
Ground	48	519		
º/o	21.5	73.0	51.5 (3.4)***	
Total	223	711		
%	100	100		

*** significant at the 1% level, ** significant at the 5% level, * significant at the 1% level





















Methodology: Three different models used

Variable of interest: Multiple Water Use Status

Outcomes:

- \cdot Total time spent per week fetching water,
- \cdot Sufficient water available for domestic use,
- \cdot Hand washing practices,
- Hand washing facilities,
- Sanitation facility,
- \cdot Diarrhea in children.

Models:

- 1) Pooled OLS / Linear Probability
- 2) Household Fixed Effect
- 3) Instrumental variable
 - Time to closest water source

Controls:

- Education of HH Head
- Education of Spouse of HH Head
- \cdot HH size
- \cdot Number of children in HH
- \cdot Number of adult women in HH
- HH monthly expenditure
- \cdot Time
- \cdot Kebele
- \cdot HH shocks
- \cdot Drought
- Asset Ownership
- \cdot Land Ownership



Ground water irrigators spend less time fetching water





Non-irrigators have poorer hygiene





Ground water users are more likely to have sufficient water available





Evidence of multiple uses of productive and domestic water sources

- We find evidence of multiple uses of productive and domestic water sources,
- particularly for groundwater irrigators who use the same groundwater source for domestic purposes.

Non-irrigators have worse hygiene

- Ground source households have better hand washing practices than non-irrigators.
- It's possible that irrigators have better hygiene
 - More evidence is needed.





Irrigation contributes to improved water access

- Our results indicate that households that use groundwater for both irrigation and domestic uses spend the least amount of time fetching water on a weekly basis due to the closeness of the irrigation well to the homestead.
 - However this results is not robust to model specification.
- At the same time, non-irrigators are more likely than any other group to have insufficient water for domestic purposes
- and that more than 90% of households that irrigate report sufficient water for domestic purposes.
- This results suggests that irrigation contributes to improved water access for households.





Thank you!

c.vanbiljon@cgiar.org













WHO and UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene criteria

Ladder	Characteristics	
WATER		
Surface water	River, dam, lake, pond, stream, canal, or irrigation canal	
Unimproved	Unprotected dug well or unprotected spring	
Limited	Improved source ¹ and collection time exceeds 30 min	
Basic	Improved source ¹ and collection time is no more than 30 min for roundtrip	
Safely managed (not	Improved source ¹ and available on premises and available when needed and free from fecal	
included in analysis in	and chemical contamination ²	
this paper)		
1 T 1 C		

¹Improved sources of drinking water include piped water, tube well, borehole, protected spring or protected well, rainwater, tanker truck, cart with small tank, or bottled water. ²Data on fecal and chemical contamination and disposal of extra excreta were not available



WHO and UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene criteria

SANITATION			
Open defecation	Disposal of human feces in fields, forests, bushes, open bodies of water, beache		
	and other open spaces, or with solid waste		
Unimproved	Pit latrines without a slab, hanging latrines, or bucket latrines		
Limited	Improved facilities ³ and shared between two or more households		
Basic	Improved facilities ³ and not shared with other households		
Safely managed (not included	Improved facilities ³ and not shared with other households and extra excreta are		
in analysis in this paper)	safely disposed in situ or transported and treated off-site ²		
HYGIENE			
No facility	No handwashing facility on premises		
Limited	Handwashing facility on premises without soap and water		
Basic	Handwashing facility on premises with soap and water		

²Data on fecal and chemical contamination and disposal of extra excreta were not available. ³Improved sanitation facilities include flush/pour flush, piped sewer system, septic tanks, pit latrines, ventilated pit latrines, composting toilets, or pit latrines with slab.