Estimation of the damage cost on compound water related disaster in Japar using 2D non-uniform flow model

Ise Bay Typhoon in 1959 : The greatest damage after WW II The coincidence of flood and storm surge

### YUKAKO TANAKA, SO KAZAMA, DAISUKE KOMORI, MASAHIRO AKIMA Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, Sendai,

# Background

### Compound

 Typhoons which brought particularly enormous damage Typhoon Muroto (1934) Makurazaki Typhoon (1945) Ise Bay Typhoon (1959)
...The coincidence of flood and storm surge

### Single

A flood (Typhoon No. 18, 2015) Kinu River burst its banks for the first time in 29 years A flood (Typhoon No. 10, 2016) The typhoon hit the Tohoku district for the first time

# Background

2



It is necessary for Japan to evaluate quantitatively the risk of flood, storm surge, compound disaster and compare them

# Previous studies

## 3

### Floods

Flood damage estimations using the distribution of rainfall causing any return period of flood (Tezuka *et al.*,2014 etc.)

### Storm

Analysis on storm surge inundation damage using numerical models (Suzuki, 2008 etc.)

Many studies have done on impacts on each flood and storm surge

4

Estimation on the damage cost of compound disaster that flood and storm surge happened at the same time (Akima *et al.*, 2016)

! The inundation depth was estimated on the condition that highest tide level stay constant so far as the storm surge flooding calculation

! The difference between the tide level and the ground elevation was regarded as the inundation depth of storm surge

### The objective of my

to calculate the inuridation depth which more similar to the jactual phenomenon

## Data set ~single disasters~

#### Floods

the distribution of causing any interview of (Tezukafletall.,2014)

#### Storm

Any return period of the tide level deviation calculated by means of frequency analysis



## Data set ~compound disasters~6

Compound disaster : A low pressure bring flood and storm surge one after another at the <u>same place</u> in 4 days



# Method

7

prices per unit of area

use

calculated by each land



#### Input to 2D non-uniform flow model

Damage cost

Inundation

 Rainfall : 0~24h (constant)

Tide level :
0~24h (time

series)

## **Result** ~damage cost distribution 6





## Result ~difference from previous studies~10

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 15.2%

The damage cost of compound disaster in this study is smaller than that in previous study (Akima *et al.*, 2016) This difference could be caused by the difference in offiliationaves to the land Niigata, Ishikawa, Kochi...particularly overvalued

## Result~comparing the risk of each event~11

Annual expected damage cost ware estimated considering water disasters control projects (Af uoillid) 1,000 800 The greatest risk is brought by : Flood 800 Storm surge annual dapeagedcost 600 Compound disaster 400 200 Storm Flood Compound 175 350 700km 0 disaster surge

#### Floods pose the greatest

80% of prefectures: Storm surge<Compound disaster<Flood Useful for efficient adaptation method against water disasters

# Conclusions

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Objective

to evaluate quantitatively the risk of water related disaster and compare them

### Results

1. Improvement of Flood simulation time series variation of tide level was taken into the mode

2. Change in the arrival time of storm surge damage cost reaches a peak on the condition thattime of the highest tide level is set at 30 aftersrain started

3. Comparison of the risk of each disaster Storm surge<Compound disaster<Flood