

Restoring Water Resources Through Soil Remediation: A Case Study on Smouldering Remediation

Dr Christine Switzer

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering christine.switzer@strath.ac.uk



UK Entrepreneurial University of the Year 2013/14 UK University of the Year 2012/13







Mara Knapp, Andrew Robson, Rossane DeLapp, and David Kosson







http://imnh.isu.edu/digitalatlas/hydr/concepts/gwater/aquifer.htm

Smouldering Experiments



Switzer et al., 2014. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 268, pp. 51-60. Pironi et al., 2011. Environmental Science & Technology, 45 (7), pp. 2987-2993. Switzer et al., 2009. Environmental Science & Technology, 43 (15), pp. 5871–5877. Pironi et al., 2009. Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 32 (2), pp. 1957-1964.

Proof of the STAR Concept



Laboratory Experiments: Chemical Analysis

Coarse Sand



Sand + Fresh Coal Tar



Inside Combustion Zone



Outside Combustion Zone



up to 1000mg/kg







Scaling the STAR Process





Smouldering Remediation Ex Situ Demonstration



Conc (TPH) = $31,000 \text{ mg/kg} \pm 14,000 \text{ mg/kg}$

Conc (TPH) = 10 mg/kg \pm 4 mg/kg

Switzer et al., 2014. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 268, pp. 51-60.



Coal tar

Fate of Organic Contaminants: GCxGC-TOFMS

400000



After Fate of Organic Contaminants: GCxGC-TOFMS Masses: TIC





Potentially Toxic Element Availability

- USEPA M1313: pH dependent leaching
- USEPA M1314: column leaching (L/S ratio ①)
- 2 soils: field-obtained loam and made ground





M1313 Results: Arsenic



M1313 Results: Lead



M1314 Results: Lead



M1314 Results: Arsenic



Conclusions and Future Work

- Contaminant source removal makes significant progress toward aquifer restoration
 - Trace contaminants require further attention:
 - pH effects
 - Reductive conditions
 - Soil mineralogy changes
 - Groundwater re-infiltration modelling







THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND



