#### New Dynamic Genetic Algorithms for Optimising Reservoir Operating Rule Curves



Distinctly Global www.hw.ac.uk

HERIOT

#### **Reservoir operation**







#### Optimising reservoir rule curves: Genetic algorithm

Genetic algorithms (GA) optimisation has long been recognized, and widely applied, to provide the optimal solutions when deriving reservoir operating policies. It was accepted that GA increased the efficiency of the water supply performance (Chang et al., 2005).





#### Optimising reservoir rule curves: Challenge in GA

Standard GA (SGA), however, often fails to search adequately for the global optimum, especially when the search space is either too wide or too narrow.







#### Aim & Objectives

Aim: To present a new development of the GA, known as the dynamic GA (DGA) that is more efficient than the standard GA (SGA) and represents an improved SSRT in arriving at an optimal solution.

Objectives:

- Review the literature dealing with the deployment of GA in reservoir optimisation
- Present the development of the new DGA optimisation and discuss its main features that distinguish it from the SGA.
- Apply both the SGA and DGA to the optimisation of rule curves for the operation of the Ubonratana multi-purpose reservoir in Thailand.



#### Standard Genetic Algorithm (SGA): Flow chart





#### Dynamic Genetic Algorithm (DGA): Flow chart

It starts with an initial random population like the SGA and runs over "g" generations from which the best string is selected. This process is repeated "r" times, thus leading to "r" best strings.









#### **Reservoir Performance Indices**

Time-based Reliability (Rt)

Volume-based Reliability (Rv)

Resilience ( $\varphi$ )

Vulnerability (ŋ)

Sustainability index ( $\lambda$ )

Group sustainability index ( $\lambda G$ )

$$\gamma = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{f_d} [(D_t - D_t) / D_t]}{f_d}; t \in f_d$$

 $\lambda_G = \sum_{j=1}^M w_j \lambda_j$ 

$$R_t = N_s / N$$





$$\lambda = (R_t \varphi(1-\eta))^{1/3}$$

 $w_{j} = \frac{D^{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} D^{j}}$ 

where







# General data of the Ubonratana reservoir

| Hydrometeorological data        |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Catchment area (km2)            |  |
| Rainfall (mm/y)                 |  |
| Inflow (Mm3/y)                  |  |
| Public demands (Mm3/y)          |  |
| Irrigation (Mm3/y)              |  |
| Downstream requirements (Mm3/y) |  |

•

•









Results: Influence of the population size and the number of generations on GA's performance



| Generations   | 100   | 1500  | 3000  |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fitness value | 18569 | 15809 | 15762 |

A population size of 200 and 1500 generations are the best combination.



### Results (Cont'd): The fitness values of the algorithm of 30 repeating times in SGA





Results (Cont'd): Influence of the generations and repetitive algorithm on the fitness value in DGA





### "g"=2 and "r"=7 represent the best combination



# Results (Cont'd): Optimised monthly rule curves

| Policy | Rule<br>Curve | Apr  | May  | Jun  | Jul  | Aug  | Sep  | Oct  | Nov  | Dec  | Jan  | Feb  | Mar  |
|--------|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|        | FCRC          | 1662 | 1616 | 1571 | 1536 | 1527 | 1527 | 1902 | 1902 | 1852 | 1804 | 1756 | 1709 |
| SGA    | URC           | 1141 | 1176 | 991  | 846  | 946  | 1340 | 1808 | 1901 | 1746 | 1366 | 1216 | 1556 |
| 00A    | LRC           | 583  | 582  | 592  | 626  | 606  | 824  | 947  | 889  | 962  | 806  | 724  | 651  |
|        | URC           | 1246 | 941  | 888  | 833  | 946  | 1423 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1422 | 1098 | 991  |
| DGA    | LRC           | 583  | 582  | 582  | 603  | 582  | 625  | 961  | 911  | 848  | 783  | 714  | 651  |





#### Results (Cont'd): Reservoir performance

| Indices/                          |            | SGA        |            | DGA    |            |            |  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|--|
| Water user                        | Publi<br>c | Downstream | Irrigation | Public | Downstream | Irrigation |  |
| Total period Delivery (Mm3)       | 356.8      | 7165.6     | 22366.2    | 357.2  | 7179.8     | 22376.3    |  |
| Total period deficit (Mm3)        | 0.36       | 14.21      | 236.81     | 0.00   | 0.00       | 226.7      |  |
| Total duration of failures, fd    | 1          | 1          | 11         | 0      | 0          | 11         |  |
| Number of failure sequence, fs    | 1          | 1          | 1          | 0      | 0          | 1          |  |
| Time-based reliability, Rt        | 99.74      | 99.74      | 97.14      | 100.00 | 100.00     | 97.14      |  |
| Volume-based reliability, Rv      | 99.90      | 99.80      | 98.95      | 100.00 | 100.00     | 99.00      |  |
| Resilience, $\varphi$             | 1.00       | 1.00       | 0.09       | -      | -          | 0.09       |  |
| Vulnerability, $\eta$             | 1.000      | 1.000      | 0.330      | 0.000  | 0.000      | 0.305      |  |
| Sustainability, $\lambda$         | 0.000      | 0.000      | 0.390      | 1.000  | 1.000      | 0.395      |  |
| Group sustainability, $\lambda G$ |            | 0.292      |            | 0.546  |            |            |  |



an derived rule

#### Summary

٠

٠



- The standard genetic algorithm (SGA) has been improved by search space modification using DGA in which boundaries are continuously updated by modified search space reduction technique (SSRT).
  - The search space is focused around the area of the optimal solution, hence speeding up the convergence process and improving the precision of solutions.
  - Recorded computational times for the DGA were on average about half of those required by a standard algorithm solving the same problem
  - Sustainability indices showed that the DGA was better than the SGA, for the individual water supply categories as well as their aggregation.



