#### ADAPTIVE, MULTI-LEVEL LEARNING IN FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT

Dr. Alan Diduck, Department of Environmental Studies & Sciences University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Canada

World Water Congress XV, Edinburgh, Scotland, 25-29 May 2015

### Outline

- Background
- Conceptual framework
- Purpose
- Methods

- Case study results
  - Context, Involvement, Learning
- Discussion
- Conclusion

# Background

 Flood risk management and complexity, uncertainty and conflict (after Funtowicz & Ravetz 1993)



Decision stakes and conflict



- Theory of action and organizational learning (Argyris and Schön 1978, Argyris 1990)
- People and groups learn from experience and create and act on organizational memory





 Examine the implications of organizational learning through stakeholder involvement in flood risk management

### Methods

- Integrative literature review (Torraco 2005)
- Qualitative, retrospective case study of a communitybased organization (CBO) (Thomas 2011)
- 8 semi-structured interviews with 5 of CBO's leaders
- A review of nearly 400 organizational records
- Thematic coding (Creswell 2014) using QSR NVivo

### Case study results: context



(Diduck et al. 2005)



Structures built after the 1950 flood (MCEC 2005)



1997 flood level in Winnipeg but for the post-1950 structures

- CBO's baseline beliefs, goals and strategies
  - Believed that government actions to protect Winnipeg, although justified, worsened impacts outside the city
  - Wanted the government to acknowledge these impacts and provide compensation for them
  - Sought a comprehensive basin-wide management plan
  - Relied on administrative and political strategies
  - Organized its members, mustered evidence of its position, lobbied and made formal presentations to government

### Case study results: involvement

- Five flood management initiatives from 1997 to 2005
  - 1. Hearings into operation of the floodway during the 1997 flood
  - 2. A proposal to build a dike along a provincial road
  - 3. A review of the floodway rules of operation
  - 4. Pre-feasibility studies to enhance flood protection for Winnipeg
  - 5. Environmental assessment of a proposal to expand the floodway

## Case study results: learning

- Numerous manifestations in organizational memory of single-loop learning
  - Enhanced knowledge of, and trust in, other organizations with shared interests
  - Broader and deep technical knowledge of geography, hydrology, engineering, politics and law
  - Use of a wider array of tactics, including adversarial and legal avenues
- No evidence of double-loop learning

### Discussion

- "Standard" public involvement processes (Diduck et al. 2015) offer good opportunities for single-loop organizational learning (Fitzpatrick 2006)
- 2. Would more participatory processes have facilitated double-loop outcomes for CBO?
- 3. Unclear because CBO forged its governing variables in staunch opposition to government actions

- 4. Also unclear if more participatory processes would have led to double-loop learning by government agencies
- 5. Government learning can be far-reaching if it involves institutional reform (societal learning) (Woodhill 2002)
- 6. Adaptive co-management (Armitage et al. 2009) serves social goals of sustainability (Gibson et al. 2013)

### Conclusions

- 1. The conceptual framework shows promise for analyzing learning and institutional change in flood risk management
- 2. However, the framework is highly abstract, and the case study is tentative and emphasizes just one level in the overall framework
- 3. Further research is needed to empirically test more of the framework's elements using various qualitative and quantitative methods

#### References

Argyris, C. (1990). Overcoming Organizational Defenses: Facilitating Organizational Learning. Wellesley MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1978). Organizational Learning: A Theory of Action Perspective. Reading MA: Addison-Wesley.

Armitage, D., Plummer, R., Berkes, F., Arthur, R., Davidson-Hunt, I., Diduck, A. P., . . . Wollenberg, L. (2009). Adaptive co-management for social-ecological complexity. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 7*(2), 95-102.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.

Diduck, A. P. (2010). The learning dimension of adaptive capacity: untangling the multi-level connections. In D. Armitage & R. Plummer (Eds.), *Adaptive Capacity: Building Environmental Governance in an Age of Uncertainty* (pp. 199-221). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.

Diduck, A. P., Moyer, J., & Briscoe, E. (2005). A social learning analysis of recent flood management initiatives in the Red River basin, Canada: systemic impediments to double-loop learning. In D. Shrubsole & N. Watson (Eds.), *Sustaining Our Futures: Reflections on Environment, Economy and Society* (pp. 127-164). Waterloo, ON: Department of Geography Publication Series No. 60, University of Waterloo.

Diduck, A. P., Reed, M. G., & George, C. (2015). Participatory approaches to resource and environmental management. In B. Mitchell (Ed.), *Resource and Environmental Management in Canada: Addressing Conflict and Uncertainty* (5th ed., pp. 142-170). Toronto: Oxford University Press.

Fitzpatrick, P. (2006). In it together: organizational learning through participation in environmental assessment. *Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 8*(2), 157-182.

Funtowicz, S., & Ravetz, J. (1993). Science for the post-normal age. *Futures, 25*(7), 739-757.

Gibson, R. B., Hassan, S., & Tansey, J. (2013). *Sustainability Assessment: Criteria and Processes*. Oxford: Routledge.

MCEC. (2005). *Red River Floodway Expansion: Report on Public Hearing (June 2005)*. Winnipeg, Manitoba: Manitoba Clean Environment Commission.

Thomas, G. (2011). A typology for the case study in social science following a review of definition, discourse, and structure. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *17*(6), 511-521.

Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review, 4*(3), 356-367.

Woodhill, J. (2002). Sustainability, social learning and the democratic imperative. In C. Leeuwis & R. Pyburn (Eds.), *Wheel-barrows Full of Frogs: Social Learning in Rural Resource Management* (pp. 317-331). Assesn, The Netherlands: Koninklijke Van Gorcum.

# Acknowledgements

 Many thanks to the research participants, and I gratefully acknowledge the financial support of:



Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada





THE UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG