## Water Allocation Towards Constructive Engagement along the Jordan River Basin

Rola Quba'a, Mutasem El-Fadel, Ibrahim Alameddine, and Majdi Abou Najm

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering American University of Beirut, Lebanon

XV<sup>th</sup> IWRA World Water Congress



Transboundary Water Resources: Resource assessment and allocation

PS12.4005

3/16/2016

1

• JRB one of most intricate transboundary water conflicts due to Arab-Israeli conflict

Methodology

- It is shared by 5 riparians:
  - Israel

Introduction

- Jordan
- Lebanon
- Palestine
- Syria
- No comprehensive agreement among riparians

**Study Objectives** 

- Mainly bilateral agreements (Syria-Jordan, Israel-Palestine, and Israel-Jordan)
- Existing forms of bilateral cooperation did not resolve any of the water conflict aspects

⇒ Need a catalyst to induce cooperation over transboundary water management



Introduction Study Objectives Methodology Results & Discussion Conclusion

- Allocate JRB waters according to criteria of United Nations (UN) Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Water Courses of 1997
- Develop an Integrated Regional Water Resources Management Plan

• Propose a positive-apportionment framework that uses energy as a catalyst for motivating riparians into cooperation



UN Convention Criteria	Quantification Approach
Geography	Basin area
Basin Hydrology	Water flow
Precipitation	Average annual total rainfall
Existing water utilization	Reported riparian abstractions
Economic needs	Agricultural sector contribution to national GE
Social needs	National agricultural workforce
Basin population	Country population living within basin area
Costs of alternative water	Cost related to seawater desalination
Availability of other water resources	Water stress index
Potential for harm	Water shortage assumed to cause equal harm in all countries

• Calculate normalized score for each criterion

$$F_{i,j} = \frac{X_{i,j}}{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i,j})} * 100$$

- i riparian country (from 1 to n=5)
- j number of allocation criteria (from 1 to m=10)
- X<sub>i,j</sub> value assigned to i<sup>th</sup> country with respect to the j<sup>th</sup> criterion
- F<sub>i,j</sub> percentage normalized score assigned to riparian i with respect to criterion j



- Scenarios
- Equal weights
- 30% & 50% weights to each criterion
- Average of expert assigned weights
- Sensitivity Analysis
- Vary weights for each criterion by 5% increments

 Calculate weighted overall score for each riparian

$$S_{i} = \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{m} F_{i,j} * W_{j})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_{i,j} * W_{j})}$$

- Wj weight assigned to j<sup>th</sup> criterion
- Si overall score for i<sup>th</sup> riparian, ranging between 0 and 100%



## Quantified criteria with normalized scores

	Normalized Scores (%)				
UN Convention Criteria	Israel	Jordan	Lebanon	Palestine	Syria
Basin Geographical area	10.4	40.2	3.8	8.6	37.1
Water Flow	11.6	37.8	8.6	11.0	31.0
Precipitation	18.5	12.2	31.7	13.4	24.2
Existing water use	58.8	21.3	0.8	0	19.1
Economic needs	7.4	9.3	12.9	17.7	52.6
Social needs	4.0	5.0	18.1	30.0	42.8
Within basin population	4.5	70.0	1.5	6.0	18.0
Costs of alternative sources of water	13.9	28.7	13.9	23.8	19.7
Availability of other water resources	11.6	20.7	9.3	55.0	3.4
Potential for harm	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0



Introduction Study Objectives Methodology Results & Discussion Conclusion

- Irrespective of assigned weights, current pattern of water allocation does not conform to international water law guidelines
- Jordan, Lebanon and Syria are underutilizing water from JRB as compared to their potential water rights
- Palestinians are entitled to a share in basin's water, but are currently allocated none

	Percentage Allotted Share (%)					
Scenario	Israel	Jordan	Lebanon	Palestine	Syria	
Equal Weights	16.1	26.5	12.0	18.6	26.8	
Questionnaire based	21.1	27.7	11.0	15.0	25.2	
Range of all scenarios	10.7-35.1	17.0-45.9	7.1-20.8	10.3-34.7	16.4-38.3	
Existing allocation pattern	58.8	21.3	0.8	0	19.1	



Sensitivity analysis revealed the most significant criteria enhancing water share for each riparian country

Country	Most significant criterion to increase water share from JRB	5% increase in weight will increase allotted water share by
Israel	<ul> <li>Existing Utilization</li> </ul>	+2.4%
Jordan	<ul><li>Within basin population</li><li>Share of basin area</li></ul>	+2.4% 0.8%
Lebanon	<ul> <li>Precipitation</li> </ul>	+1.1%
Palestine	<ul> <li>Social need</li> <li>Availability of alternative sources of water</li> </ul>	+0.6% +2.0%
Syria	<ul> <li>Economic need</li> <li>Social need</li> <li>Share of basin area</li> </ul>	+1.4% +0.9% +0.6%



Introduction	Study Objectives	Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion	
Integrated Regional Water Resources Management Plan					
Main Componen	Main Components Major Implementation Initiatives				
Negotiate agreements – Adopt a water charter for consensus distribution of water rights					



Introduction	Study Objectives		Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion	
	Integra	ted Re	gional Water Resou	rces Management Plan		
Main Componen	ts	Major	Implementation Initiat	ives		
Negotiate agreer	nents	<ul> <li>Adopt a water charter for consensus distribution of water rights</li> </ul>				
Institution for joint management of		<ul> <li>Share data for sound planning and operation of management systems</li> </ul>				
shared regional water resources		<ul> <li>Monitor water levels (flow rates) and quality</li> </ul>				
		<ul> <li>Develop hydrological model for the JRB that uses a common dataset</li> </ul>				
		– Deve	elop joint river water co	mmission with detailed conflict	resolution mechanisms	



Introduction	Study Objective	es Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion
	Integrate	ed Regional Water Reso	urces Management Plan	
Main Componen	ts M	Aajor Implementation Initia	tives	
Negotiate agreen	nents –	Adopt a water charter for	consensus distribution of water r	ights
Institution for joint management of shared regional water resources- Share data for sound planning and operation of management systems - Monitor water levels (flow rates) and quality - Develop hydrological model for the JRB that uses a common dataset - Develop joint river water commission with detailed conflict resolution				nt systems n dataset resolution mechanisms
Legislative and in	estitutional reforms – – –	<ul> <li>Improve water sector insti</li> <li>Agree on a common set of</li> <li>Harmonize water pricing a</li> </ul>	tutions of riparians penalties on violations nd cost recovery policies among	riparians



Introduction	Study Objectiv	/es	Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion	
	Integrat	ted Re	gional Water Resou	rces Management Plan		
Main Componen	ts	Major	Implementation Initiat	ives		
Negotiate agreer	nents	– Ado	pt a water charter for c	onsensus distribution of water r	ights	
Institution for joi	nt management of	– Shai	e data for sound planni	ng and operation of manageme	nt systems	
shared regional w	vater resources	<ul> <li>Monitor water levels (flow rates) and quality</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Develop hydrological model for the JRB that uses a common dat</li> </ul>					n dataset	
		<ul> <li>Develop joint river water commission with detailed conflict resolution mechanism</li> </ul>				
Legislative and in	stitutional reforms	– Imp	rove water sector instit	utions of riparians		
		– Agre	e on a common set of	penalties on violations		
		– Harı	monize water pricing an	d cost recovery policies among	riparians	
Exchange water of	lemand	– Incr	ease irrigation efficience	ý		
management exp	periences	– Reu	se wastewater (mostly f	or irrigation purposes)		
		– Red	ucing unaccounted-for-	water and encourage water savi	ng	
		– Disc	ourage growing of wate	er intensive crops		



Introduction	Study Objecti	ves	Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion			
	Integrated Regional Water Resources Management Plan							
Main Componen	ts	Major	Implementation Initiati	ves				
Negotiate agreen	nents	- Ado	pt a water charter for co	onsensus distribution of water ri	ghts			
Institution for joi	nt management of	– Shar	e data for sound planni	ng and operation of manageme	nt systems			
shared regional w	vater resources	– Mor	nitor water levels (flow r	ates) and quality				
		– Deve	elop hydrological model	for the JRB that uses a commor	n dataset			
		– Deve	elop joint river water co	mmission with detailed conflict	resolution mechanisms			
Legislative and in	stitutional reforms	– Impi	rove water sector institu	itions of riparians				
		– Agre	e on a common set of p	enalties on violations				
		– Harr	nonize water pricing an	d cost recovery policies among r	riparians			
Exchange water of	lemand	- Incre	ease irrigation efficiency	1				
management exp	periences	– Reus	se wastewater (mostly f	or irrigation purposes)				
		– Redu	ucing unaccounted-for-v	vater and encourage water savi	ng			
		– Disc	ourage growing of wate	r intensive crops	-			
Regional water se	upply	– Wat	er harvesting to collect	rainfall and storm run-off				
development pro	ojects	– Desa	alination of brackish and	l seawater for municipal and ind	lustrial purposes			
		– Expl	ore inter-basin as well a	s out-of-basin water transfer pr	ojects			
American University of Beirut					Q			

Introduction	Study Objectiv	/es	Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion	
	Integrat	ted Re	gional Water Resou	ces Management Plan		
Main Componen	ts	Major	Implementation Initiati	ves		
Negotiate agreen	nents ·	- Ado	pt a water charter for co	onsensus distribution of water ri	ghts	
Institution for joi	nt management of	– Shar	e data for sound planni	ng and operation of manageme	nt systems	
shared regional v	vater resources	– Mor	itor water levels (flow r	ates) and quality		
<ul> <li>Develop hydrological model for the JRB that uses a common dataset</li> </ul>					n dataset	
<ul> <li>Develop joint river water commission with detailed conflict resolution mechanis</li> </ul>					resolution mechanisms	
Legislative and in	stitutional reforms	<ul> <li>Improve water sector institutions of riparians</li> </ul>				
		– Agre	e on a common set of p	enalties on violations		
		– Harr	nonize water pricing and	d cost recovery policies among i	riparians	
Exchange water of	lemand ·	– Incre	ease irrigation efficiency	,		
management exp	beriences .	– Reus	se wastewater (mostly f	or irrigation purposes)		
		– Redu	ucing unaccounted-for-v	vater and encourage water savi	ng	
		– Disc	ourage growing of wate	r intensive crops		
Regional water su	upply -	– Wat	er harvesting to collect	rainfall and storm run-off		
development pro	jects .	– Desa	alination of brackish and	l seawater for municipal and inc	lustrial purposes	
		– Expl	ore inter-basin as well a	s out-of-basin water transfer pr	ojects	
Enhance regional	public awareness - 3/16/2016	– Invo	lve communities in JRB	monitoring	9	



- Successful water allocation benefits from joint management with due considerations to international water law criteria
- Establishing a connection between water and energy projects can create economic incentives for attracting riparians into cooperation over reallocating and managing transboundary water resources



Introduction	<b>Study Objectives</b>	Methodology	<b>Results &amp; Discussion</b>	Conclusion
--------------	-------------------------	-------------	---------------------------------	------------

7

## **THANK YOU**

77



3/16/2016